

The Clerk to the Courts, County Court Business Centre, 4th floor St Katherines's House
21-27 St Katherines's Street, Northampton, NN1 2LH. (*Or whatever Court*)

The Claimant.
Claimant's Name and Address (Parking Eye Etc.)

-vs-
The Defendant.
Defendant's Name and Address.

(Date)

Reference: *Claim Number*

Notice to the Court and Statement of Defence.

Notice to agent is Notice to principal and vice-versa.

With an offer from the Defendant to settle the matter already in place with the Claimant, the Defendant sees no controversy or reason for a Court to intervene.

There is no case to answer.

The Defendant is in receipt of Court documents which purport to be from yourselves; these documents were unsigned in wet ink by the representative of the Claimant and therefore carry no weight in law; this omission could be described as a deliberate act of deception and may be considered a procedural impropriety by the Claimant.

The matter concerns the alleged use of some parking facility managed by the Claimant.

The Defendant has previously sent two Notices to the Claimant, under the Royal Mail Signed For delivery service, requiring a properly formatted invoice in accordance with the Bills of Exchange Act 1882; copies of which are attached hereto. The Defendant has retained proof of delivery of these Notices. It seems that the Claimant may not have disclosed this to the Court when submitting their case and therefore may have committed a further procedural impropriety.

The Claimant has failed at every point to address the matters raised and to deliver to the Defendant an invoice, yet the Claimant has continued to demand payment which is in itself a punishable offence under the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, and possibly the Fraud Act 2006 and the Protection from Harassment Act 1997. No correspondence, demands for payment or Notices received from the Claimant by the Defendant have been signed in wet ink by the representative of the Claimant, therefore, the Defendant believes, each of these to be further procedural improprieties committed by the Claimant.

The Defendant is deeply suspicious of the Claimant's reluctance to deliver an invoice. It is suspected that this whole business is predicated on an enormous fraud.

It is the Defendant's understanding that, the Defendant would be in direct contravention of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, the Fraud Act 2006 and various other offences under HM Revenue and Customs regulations with regard to Value Added Tax if the Defendant were to settle this matter without first receiving such an invoice.

Please find the Court documents enclosed, which the Defendant returns to the Court completed as required.

Statement of truth, I believe the above to be the truth.

(signed in wet ink)

Defendant's full name.